UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,366	09/14/2005	Frank Cornelis Penning	FR 030030	2398
24737 7590 05/08/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIA DOLLET MANOR NIV 10510			EXAMINER	
			BATTAGLIA, MICHAEL V	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/549,366	PENNING ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael V. Battaglia	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on					
after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) \sum No corrected drawings have been received.					
(b) In the contracted drawinge have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
On 05 May 2008, Michael E. Belk confirmed by tele been sent.	phone that no reply to the 30 Oct	ober 2007 Office action had			
/Andrea L Wellington/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			